Unknown 0:00 Well, hey there, Larry, how are you tonight? Good. Great.

Unknown 0:02 Andy, how are you? Well,

Unknown 0:04

you know, it's to swing and dudes out here. I guess. Swing is not the right word, that two dudes party and on a Saturday night and we get to record a podcast. That's how exciting Our lives are. Well, I'd say it's kind of boring. But I'm old. Somebody expect, right? So there was there was dirt and then there was you or were you at the patent office when dirt came into register, or we we patented dirt and my life. Okay,

Unknown 0:28

that's pretty awesome. This show is supported by our patrons and was so very much want to thank our newest patron Christian, I think that's what it is, you are the best. And thank you very much for coming in at the hustler level. And before we get any further I wanted to go back and recognize all of our patrons who help support the show, and not that the shows about money, but it just shows that that you care about what we're doing. And, you know, there is a whole bunch of expenditures with microphones and equipment and stuff, but wanted to send out a special thank you to all of those people. So we have Thomas, Michael, Justin. Horace, Dave, Hank, and we have more we have Jane anonymous, Travis, Michael, Patty and Jennifer thank you guys so very much it was really really special that you guys reached out and support us that way and my request for getting YouTube subscribers has been going great. We're about halfway there I've just looked a few minutes cone trying to get to 100 and as of right now we're at 50 so that's really awesome and really appreciate it if you have a second Google account you can go register over there if you want to and that would that would be great to go along with that now remind our people What does this do for us I remember but I just want make sure that your listeners know what it does well it it does just slightly above nothing but if you look at the URL that I published in the show notes last week the URL is you know is youtube dot com slash some long length of garbage and I want youtube dot com slash registry matters you can go to Patreon dot com slash registry matters you go to our website registry matters dot CEO so everything is branded around that name and I would like to have youtube dot com slash registry matters and to get there you need 100 hundred subscriptions before they'll open up the option for you to do it

Unknown 2:14

that's it and that that makes it a whole lot easier for for us in terms of people finding us yeah and I took the pointer to our show was easier to follow if it's registry matters versus all that your brush yes

Unknown 2:31

it does more to me it's more of a cosmetic thing I'm not using the YouTube channel for anything other than YouTube is literally the second largest search engine and I would want something that is somewhat memorable for people to share I it is just a place I post the podcast I throw in a static image of a video and I released the podcast up there just to get more search engine juice so to speak so somebody out in you know Minnesota whatever doesn't know anything about about us or the production and they happen to type in you know sex offender podcast maybe they should maybe we show up in YouTube maybe it adds extra juice into getting back to the website

Unknown 3:09 well fantastic SEO stuff

Unknown 3:10 glad we're halfway there yeah

Unknown 3:13

so you know another another week or maybe two and we I suspect we'll get there

Unknown 3:20 well let's kick this off and we have we have

Unknown 3:24

this is where I want some of those like intro things because this is just this is just a crappy person and Little Rock police staffer implies an all in all staff email sex offenders should be shot this is coming from k r ky k rk excuse me calm out of Arkansas and so that's just super good fun that she just thinks that everyone convicted of a sexual offense and Larry I'm often reminded when I read something like this to go back to the Nassau call from roughly two months ago with a lady from Virginia who didn't report that her kid was having sex she's on the red industry well I just think about someone like that

Unknown 4:04

when I started looking at this prior to the show and then didn't complete it but I saw enough that that it was very troubling that a police staff or Now luckily fortunately doesn't say police officer so staffers may have less protection and the officers have whether union in terms of termination but hopefully the staffer is removed and the the obviously that we would say something like that you have no clue about who else on the registry and of course no one should be shot because that's not what we do in America but for a person to say that and an email communicating to police officers tell to the entire department really little I believe

Unknown 4:44 Do you want me to play the clip I can play the segment if it's not too long but yeah, I was couple min rather

Unknown 4:50 rather revolting. Okay, so let's go let's hear. Let's hear it.

Unknown 4:56

Afternoon bias secretary to hundreds of police employees including officers. kr k force, Mitch McCoy got his hands on the email. He has a copy of it in studio tonight. Yeah, Bob and Ashley. Good evening. Sources tell me the woman a secretary at the 12th Street police substation sent the email to the entire police department. It appears the email stems from confusion about where calls concerning sex offenders should be transferred to

Unknown 5:25 living the retired life. Elaine Potter says nothing surprises her nowadays.

Unknown 5:30 She says an email sent by a little one police staffer is out of line that's not

Unknown 5:35 her place. She needs to be fired. You don't do that.

Unknown 5:38

At 326 Thursday afternoon. This email allegedly sent by a secretary whose name we are not releasing says quote sex offender calls belong to major crimes. I work on the patrol side of 12th Street Station. My answer for those is shoot them boss may not appreciate doubt. You don't shoot people for things that you don't believe van. And yes, there are. They are bad people. You don't want them in your neighborhood. You want them away from your children. But you don't have the right to suit them. It appears the secretary sent the email because phone calls concerning sex offenders get being incorrectly transferred to her for all wrong sometimes. Kayla as men says the secretary who sources telling me has been with the department for years should not be fired. It's not like she's the one of our patrol officers or the

police cheaper a sheriff I think being talked to by a superior and being reprimanded in a way that doesn't erase an entire career is probably more appropriate. Police Chief Kenton Buckner, quickly followed up to the Secretary's all staff email by calling the note highly inappropriate and undercurrent investigation and I know you could probably get very angry working in that environment all the time and hearing about all the bad things day in and day out. But still

Unknown 6:55

it's important to note the Secretary is not a sworn officer It is unclear what kind of disciplinary action if any, can be taken by the police department that entire email is on our website right now back to you.

Unknown 7:08

That's frickin awesome. You know one thing that I wanted to bring up about that is if we collectively supported her firing then we are kind of just as guilty because everyone throws us under the bus because we made a mistake and they say that everything should happen to them they're dangerous people we shouldn't flip that over and say just because she made a bad statement that she should be thrown under the bus to

Unknown 7:31

but how do you make a valid point i think that that the person on the comment there that a whole career should not be erased I just wish that they would hold that same standard updated other people who make a mistake and it's kind of thing I pontificate about about the cops when when they when one of theirs gets charged with a crime, you will always hear them say, well, you're only hearing all you saw was that video of the guy being shot in the back where these Quilliam crawling backwards on his hands and there's a whole lot more of the story you're not seeing keep your mind open duncan hunter saying the congressman in California this being accused of diverting 10s of thousands of dollars from his campaign he's saying keep an open mind I actually agree with you

Unknown 8:14

but why don't you keep an open mind I'd like for the police to charge someone with a crime and I'd like for a high ranking police official to say we have filed charges we believe in our case but this person is entitled to presumption of innocence and that presumption follows all the way through the process until they're found guilty beyond a reasonable doubt they never say that but they they asked us to do that and this is on behalf of a police staffer the asking that not to erase their entire career I'll buy in but I'd like you to buy and also I'd like you to buy it what what is a mistake by someone else why are people being penalized for their mistakes 20 3040 5060

Unknown 8:49 years afterwards

Unknown 8:51

yep absolutely need to double back I forgot to we're going to play a listener phoned in just a couple hours ago and just get some feedback on the yeah the voicemail since Gary

Unknown 9:05

Gary to recall was the fourth one is listen listen on Lucky's Louisiana and they took on go on offense for prescription for it in layman's terms the statute of limitations and they got me registered and nice venues Paris I went for my worksheet fours bombs against me that are resins over I never go to court and I have to two different this in Baton Rouge and they don't know how to get my worksheet which design Claire these people just literally falsified information will be I'm all because what I got to be on evidence wishes are and Claire boss and navigating those materials you pull my worksheet a pasta seven personality fair and rollovers also philosopher form that they notice me on the same day before

Unknown 10:05 I came here three places at one time I Unknown 10:10

couldn't have been a role she philosopher Do you think someone can be multiple places at the same time I don't see any problem with that whatsoever keep going but yeah

Unknown 10:19 routine I'm three places at the same time

Unknown 10:23 I came here three places at one time I've never forced out couldn't have been a role she for suffered a forum to I never even met this lady she was pushed him up role as the world we live in a little bit part of town to the water cooler Sammy another age

Unknown 10:42

rose or whatever then you're supposed to be my age in which she found out world living and Sam yeah nowadays but they got Muslim person I think it's gonna be a big thing that you lose them would you call me a lot more information

Unknown 11:02 one one

Unknown 11:04 Gary

Unknown 11:12

Can you can you say Louisiana the way that he said it I'm not sure I can but I feel bad for the guy if if if there's a false vacation of of stuff taking place and I've never been one of those that believes America so pure as the driven snow that that things like that can't happen unfortunately I don't think that we're really in a position but be of any help to him other than saying we wish the best but but if if they're charging him with violating registry Louisiana is very tough on that in terms of the penalties and and hopefully he's got a competent attorney and and they they really do have solid evidence but I don't know that calling him would be any of a benefit because neither of us are licensed to practice law I don't really have any way to being being helpful but if he's being framed that's awful Is there

Unknown 12:03 any reason that we would point them to the attorney we know over that way

Unknown 12:07 well they wouldn't be able to hasten Kalka she parish in disguise and Baton Rouge Parish I mean other than these fish East Baton Moses our difference in the two I promise

Unknown 12:18

I said but but that I haven't lived there in a long time. Um. The other thing I mean you know we're only taking his side of it and I'm developing my theory here that your side of the argument of like fair treatment and statute limitations

Unknown 12:36

but he you know we're only hearing his side and he says that he can't be in three places at the same time. I can totally see that a woman would want to go to the bad part of town to go supervisor. But why would what would be her motivation to Trump up charges saying that he is not where he's supposed to be?

Unknown 12:54

Well, you can have something clear from the information he gave. But you can have a registration obligation and multiple counties if you have to

Unknown 13:05

be working in one and living on another and they they have this horrendous requirement, right, you're registering boat and it could be he he may have been out of compliance that it's designed about our gotcha and we've talked about that two episodes back, I believe about the number of people in in Pennsylvania versus the number sex offenses, the technical violations of the registry people people get charged with far exceed the number of sex offenses. And and it may be that that this is a legitimate technical violation. But he says statute limitations. And that confuses me because I don't know what the issue is. If you're not compliant with the registry. I don't know what their sexual temptations come that's generally continuing offense until you come into compliance. You don't you can't Wouldn't it be great if you could the statute limitations that my state for a which the registry violating it's a fourth degree felony, and you would have I believe it's a five year statute of limitations. But so if you could avoid detection for five years, they couldn't prosecute you well, but they secure that by being are continuing. Our offense is every

Unknown 14:08

day that you're you're you're not in compliance is still five years into the future?

Unknown 14:13

Yes, it's a slow, it's a row, it's a moving, it's a moving target as you go through. Yes. That's a continuing on offense and Sachin. Okay. So now an attorney who presented a theory and we were going off script on this, but he presented a theory to me that that a person could thumb their nose and say, I'm not going to comply since fourth degree felony you max the personnel give them their their 18 months which is all the fourth week carries here. So you the maximum out they serve their nine months, and then the person comes out and says, screw you, you've maxed me out, I serve the maximum out of time, you can't do anything else to me and see what happens. I said, like, Daddy, what what happened? they would they would claim it's a continuing office and they would prosecute him again, because he never to come into compliance. That's what they would do. But whether that whole Well, that would hold up on about I don't know, a lot of questions in LA, we don't know the answer to

Unknown 15:07 until there's litigation, right.

Unknown 15:09 And you in you call that first first appearance first presence first impression first impression? That's it? Yeah, yeah,

Unknown 15:17 no one has litigated in my state that they can serve their maximum sentence and until the registry to cram it.

Unknown 15:24 So we don't we don't know. I doubt it would work. But

Unknown 15:28 it can certainly be if you're willing to try it. I'm willing to put it forth as a theory. Yeah,

Unknown 15:34 you've you've said that to me before of? Well, we don't know. So why don't you be the first one? Um,

Unknown 15:39

well, I mean, if you if you don't mind serving, because on the second offense here, it elevates the third degree. And they can give you up to three years, which is still relatively tame by national standards that we get you a year and a half with good time. But if you're wrong with the worst they can do is give you three your max.

Unknown 15:58 That's all. Yeah,

Unknown 15:59

I know. And, but we have talked about the Bell System, and that if you can't afford bail in, you get jammed up for 90 days, six months, 12 months, just while you're waiting, some kind of trial. And now you can't get up because of bond, you lose your house, you lose your car, you lose your job, etc. Three years is certainly longer than a three month stint just in the county jail or whatever. But it's still three years, you're probably not going to have that job. When you get out and all the other things, what happens, all your personal effects.

Unknown 16:30

That's the unfortunate reality of why a lot of people can't go to trial and find out things, right, you if you if you throw the dice and you're wrong, they'll if they've offered your probation, at least there's hope for the state in community if you can comply with a rigid terms of probation. Yeah,

Unknown 16:48

well, this next article comes from Ozzy calm, and this is why you should hire someone who went to prison. And you know, it's just kind of a happy feel good article that and I've personally testified to people that I think having these things kind of behind, you can be a leverage point. But you're not going to walk in there and ask for top dollars, you're not going to go in there and ask for top conditions necessarily, I mean, there should be some basic standard. But if you have a record, you are going to be looked down upon, but go in there. And I'm not saying lowball the wages. But you have those kinds of things that you could use, you have a hunger you're trying to get out there, you've been turned down so many doors closed, you can use those kinds of things to your advantage as talking points when you're trying to get a job. So far,

Unknown 17:37 so good.

Unknown 17:40

Well, like I said, so it's just it's a happy, happy joy kind of article. And it provides a whole bunch of different examples about people that have gone to prison. And when they get out, maybe the doors closed in their face, excluding formerly incarcerated job seekers from the workforce cost the us up to 80, \$7 billion in lost GDP now

Unknown 18:04 is that a be that you're talking to make sure we get this right, B as

Unknown 18:07 in boy, and not as in man, or monkey or

Unknown 18:14 their enormity of the amount of money

Unknown 18:16

and we've talked about that, you know, not to go into like an economics conversation. But if you shoot these people down as far as earning money, you are totally kicking the can down the road of well, how are they going to afford so how are they gonna afford to live when they eventually hopefully hit so security age? Well, now, they might not make

enough so they have to pull in from other resources, they're going to get sick, they're going to have to pull in, and now they're just going to go to the emergency room, which is the most inefficient form of care that you could get, you know, there's this whole cascading list of things that's going to affect them tomorrow, next year. And on down the line, why can't we see this as their most most most are going to get out? Why can't we help get them back in to be as productive as they could possibly be? To reach their potential?

Unknown 19:08

Well, I mean, I can answer that, but it would it will be it will be offensive. Because some people don't believe I'm, I'm more of the what's good for the community. It's good for, for everybody and Americans or john generally wired that way, right. We believe we made it ourselves Yes. And, and, and we grow up that being instilled in us that America is about the individual. And despite the fact that no individual can make it by themselves that's drilled into you. So we don't grow up being drilled about the good of the community, the community is better off to the family of people's better off if you've got 2000 homeless people in a city of 200,000, you've got 1% of the people roaming the streets, that their creativity is being being SAP there Dignitas, big SAP, they're using emergency services. They're doing things that are cost him lots of money, they're prone to commit crimes. But we don't think about it like that. As we're better off if these people are actually being productive. And those who who actually do believe we're better off a product and they believe that they should make themselves productive because the people believe that you made yourself productive, very few people. I don't die hard conservatives who have their kids and great public school systems around the country. And I'm not saying all this goes or if you're in school in Gary, Indiana, you probably wouldn't thank you public schools or Greater Washington, DC, and I get that. But we have some great public school systems in this country. And I'll tell them, okay, well, you look at your property taxes, you spent \$674 in school taxes, and you've got three kids and your state, you actually spend about \$9,000 a child so you got 90, \$27,000

Unknown 20:53

worth of benefit where you put in \$600. So you didn't actually do that all yourself. But but so what I'm where I'd be honored to have this instead of till the American people realize it's to our benefit to have this huge underclass of convicted felons and they had in the article here the number of people that have that have felony arrest records that's astronomically high beyond what even one word isn't it? Yeah, that's what they said that that that

Unknown 21:21

it's just unbelievable that we would see it to our benefit to have these people in substandard conditions. And you point out about the receiving and the federal benefits. I gripe about the paying in, we have more money flowing into our coffers if these people are not working. And poultry factories are holding aside if they're working every time they increase their salary, we get a bigger cut, which is good for the public benefit side of things that you depend on, like your public schools, like your Medicare, which pays for your elderly parents, medical care, your military that has to be paid for your infrastructure, all these things have to be paid for, or else we continue to put on the credit card. Like we've done an accelerating rate the last couple of years. And we charge it until the America as a country will can no longer borrow money. And we come crashing down but but it's derive benefit for these people to be reintegrated and to be forgiven. And that's what this thing is talking about. They even pointed out that Tyson Foods doesn't even run background checks. Did you see that? Yeah,

Unknown 22:30 I did. I did. I did. Yeah,

Unknown 22:32

a person came out of prison and thought they would never get a job and they're already moving up. And it's a Tyson comp company without any regard to their past. Of course, some people won't think much of Tyson. But I give Tyson kudos for that. Yeah, the

the focus of the articles as a man named Lester and he walked in each day with tenacity and a hunger to succeed. He stayed late when nobody else wanted to and provide improved, he could quickly learn to operate new machines. And he they also mentioned about banning the box in a bunch of different states. Which is really, I gotta tell you, that's just that's a BS, that's just a complete straw man to say, Oh, well, we're not gonna we're not having you check whether you are. But they're still not Tyson. But most companies are still going to go do we want to do a background check to know what kind of person is working here. So you're still going to get denied later in the process. You just didn't get denied on the forum, when you filled it out. That is correct the band The box keeps you in the running until they get a chance to have a face to face because theoretically if they if you get destroyed by by the box, they never would you never got a chance to sell yourself if your if your resume application is attractive enough. And there's no box there, they can still ask you was they sit down to you and and of course some people but cut you out of consideration at that point. But theoretically, it gets more people a chance for an in person interview. And so step one direction on Twitter somebody that I communicate with a lot someone that we both know his name is Guy, he said, and I might not have this company accurate. But I think he said after five years expunged didn't have criminal records for everybody. And someone wrote back and said, Well, what about murderers and rapists? And he said, Did I stutter?

Unknown 24:12

Well, I don't quite follow that it's as well. So five years after their conviction, five years after they've paid their debt. Well, where do we measure the five years?

Unknown 24:21

Yeah, I mean, I don't know. But, but the point that I'm really trying to make is, so he says, After five years, you have your record expunged. But someone said, but what about the worst of the worst and guy doubled back down and said, Did I stutter, just like it applies to everybody that you should be able to outlive your past at some point? Well, I

Unknown 24:41

would go along with him. If it's if it's a number of years after you've paid your debt to society, you pay your debt theoretical, your your, your slate is clean. When you walk out the gate, maybe we should expunge him at that time. After you paid your debt. If you don't have a motel and you for probation, or parole or anything, where you Oh, yeah, part of your conviction, but America and the and the the world for that matter with technology. and Europe is already having a discussion about your past and to be forgotten right to be forgotten about your past. But but we're we're not quite ready for that yet. Apparently. Now. But

Unknown 25:14

one other point that I wanted to make, and hopefully it hasn't left my brain What was I gonna say? The thought left my brain it came out Oh, you got old timers? I do. I'm crap. We got to go on. I will. It'll come back to me. Well, and then I'm not sure if we how much we should dig into this one. But from the Ohio State Journal flood system of background checks for perspective of Idaho high school coaches is unreliable

Unknown 25:45

in some games. I don't know, Why does it take so long for the police department to do any sort of criminal background check. But it became clear that a person that would have unsupervised access to kids might have a drug conviction, including murder, and here they are with unrestricted access to children. I just wanted to bring out up to get your thoughts on where should there be a line drawn on any crime against adults, minors doesn't matter. And then being a mentor in that kind of learning environment.

Unknown 26:24

I would hate to draw that line there. We've just been talking about getting a second second chance. I don't know where the draw, draw, draw the line. I don't I don't know that we should turn a blind eye to to to it either. I mean it with the state, you have the you have balancing interest between litigation, there's, there's negligent hiring and and people run to the attorneys looking for I just was listening to the day about a verdict here, they were talking about on the talk show

here about a legal \$74 billion verdict for a child that was born of Agile delivery, that the child was very large, like 11.7 pounds, and the mother wanted us this area, and they destroyed the kid trying to get him through, we got stuck in the birth canal, and he's going to need lifetime care. And the jury awarded \$74 million dollars, and that being excessive in the eyes of some people, but

Unknown 27:24

you've got people who want to give second chances are balancing between what's going to happen if there's an accusation and a what's going to happen if there's if there's misconduct and I don't know how to answer all those questions, because we weren't forgiveness. But also if I'm on a board of directors of a of an entity where you're responsible for keeping the entities interest in within your scope of supervision. How do you how do you balance those here, I did just remember it is related. I did test if I up at the state capitol once and it was, you know, some sort of prison reform thing. And I think it was related to food stamps of giving people convicted of drug offenses, food stamps, for example, and the person that I spoke to the local representative, he goes, Well, why should that person that has obviously proven themselves to not be worthy, why should they essentially take money away from somebody else that actually needs it.

Unknown 28:26

And so, you know, if we, if I think it's often, you know, you're climbing the corporate ladder, for example. And as Here we go, I'm going to get all kinds of hate mail as a privileged white male, it's either we could say that we start at the lowest rung or the or the first step, or you can say, you start midway up, but people that are in some sort of disability, they come with all this extra baggage of a conviction. People have all these racial biases and so forth, they don't start at the same place, you're trying to reach to the same destination, necessarily, but you don't, sorry, start at the same place. And now you're just adding extra weight to someone. So they can't even eventually achieve the highest level of success. And it was just, it was like, well, that's just a poor, poor way to look at it. I mean, you sent them to prison to do what to rehabilitate, oh, no, that's not really what it is. It's total punishment and shaming and to pull you down to the lowest levels that you could possibly go to. It's not rehabilitation.

Unknown 29:25

Unfortunately, your writers, the focus of American

Unknown 29:30

presence is really very few are in the rehabilitation business there anymore. There was a time and deliverable heyday, in this country, where we, where we took that a little more seriously. But that's really not the focus of presence.

Unknown 29:45

So we spend all this money to put people behind bars, and in these massive defense structures, to teach them how to be the worst of the worst kind of people are hanging out with people with very corrupt mindsets. And you send someone in there that doesn't necessary have those mindsets. But now they're exposed to those mindsets, I could give you all kinds of stories about the the sex offender treatment class that I took, there were all kinds of subjects in there that I had never considered, and they brought them out. And not that they brought them out in me. But they brought them up in the class that like, Wow, I've never really thought about playing that kind of game with my nephew in the closet. It's just very strange. And that's how, how are they expecting people to come out of that and make your life productive from that.

Unknown 30:30

But but so you're you're missing the whole point. It's not like Americans really care about that. Right? If they were, why don't you go to a community forum of elected representatives,

Unknown 30:42

take your what your liberal conservative, they just pick a group for their citizens and tell me if a hand goes up asking about corrections. It's rare stuff things, we're not concerned about. Good correctional policy. If a hand goes up, delicate hands going to go up. And I've been in political business for a long, long time stretching over spending over three decades, the hand goes up wanting to know how we can make these bastards suffer more right, because we're tired of them being mollycoddled. And that is that is what the elected official is going to hear. Now, you could if you were idealistic, you could flip your finger at those consensual, since I don't give a good you know about what you think I'm going to be about good solid public policy. But that doesn't win elections. And until you change your attitude, America who represents you is not going to change their

Unknown 31:39

corrections that's going to change when we demand that it become rehabilitative driven. And we're not demanding that we're demanding more punishment. That's what makes us feel good. I can't tell. I can't tell you why Americans are so punitive,

Unknown 31:53

I can tell you, that's why they are. But I can't tell you why it makes no sense that you'd want to spend billions, billions of dollars just to warehouse people, so that you could make them suffer so that they would come out and be a second class citizen, and they would end up a criminal in this revolving door of justice again, to me, that makes no sense. But that's what we do.

Unknown 32:17

And then let's move on. This is going to be the the the inmate outrage episode us inmates stage stage nationwide prison labor strike over modern slavery. This article comes out of the guardian and on the I think it was the 21st that they did this just a handful of days ago. Maybe it was on Tuesday that

Unknown 32:40

says on Tuesday, America's vast army of incarcerated men and women women at 2.3 million of them, they form the far large far the largest prison population in the world will brace itself for what has the potential to be the largest prison strike in US history.

Unknown 32:56

People were doing hunger strikes to go along with that working people are paid, you know, just pennies on the dollar. The idea that a such a lowly paid work in a \$2 billion industries equivalent to slavery as lent wait by the 13th amendment of the US Constitution. But isn't there something in the constitution that says that you can enslave people unless they're in custody in some form or fashion?

Unknown 33:23

I believe so. I don't think that that applies to people who are who are providing labor but these people are striking You know, they're they're also the Presidents suffering because prisoners rod the prison, generally speaking, yeah, if you if you're on strike, there's no food, there's no laundry,

Unknown 33:43

oh, the one this one the system have to kick in and do something to provide a basic level of sustenance and so forth even though the prisoners are generally doing those things you know I've realized that they're just going to make pack outs and people are going to cross the line

Unknown 33:58

but I just want you know it's some point in time you you could theoretically hit the point where enough people have strike for so long that they have to call an outside resources or do they just start going in there and throw in a smoke grenades and pepper spray in there to get people to comply

Unknown 34:15

they would probably do saw both it would depend on the state and their their mentality of the president administration and the

Unknown 34:23

you'd have a hard nose you'd have some more more reasonable but you'd call an outside resource to provide the minimum you have to provide which would would what would be some kind of rations like what they call those Mr. us that they hand out to yes Emory's they are not fun, I mean, I suppose I could do that. But but the but the prisoners would suffer because if I'm a warden and I put myself in the position of I'm trying to think like a ward. This is not me. But I'm thinking like a ward if I'm award and I'm going to go down my list of everything. That's a privilege and what's right and I'm going to take every single privilege away from you, right, I'm going to lock the institution down and I'm going to take every privilege away from you and provide you only have one I have to provide you and probably go into the gray area that if I'm a warrior, that's going to be the way I'm wired, because that's what we do we get compliance and compliance is to make you suffer into you come around to seeing things and error of your ways. And I suspect that that the President registration will will take a very dim view of these protests that they will probably retaliate.

Unknown 35:27

Do you know, I still kind of a little confused about something called new unit core. And there's another article from Vox that goes along with this that unicor bringing jobs home investing in America is unicor something specifically of prison industries i think it's it's a component of federal system but I don't know enough to talk intelligently about it but I think they make products for the Federal Bureau of Prisons they make them for or the people in prison

Unknown 35:56

bake bake the products and I think they do supply the bureau presence with a lot of what they needed that they would otherwise but I don't know if they go outside of the bureau with with their products

Unknown 36:06

I have heard I have heard that people in in these industries sometimes they make Kevlar vests they there's stuff in this article where they are doing that not necessarily telemarketing but they are doing customer service calls. There's a whole bunch of jobs that they do that they are interacting with the normal civilian sector and you would never know they make mattresses perhaps but I think there's a lot there's there are things here that they then sell those products where people are being paid pennies on the dollar 20 cents an hour maybe up to \$1 an hour and those are going out to actual commercial entities

Unknown 36:44

well I just googled it and it says that they had revenue of \$498 million in 2016 and they have 10,896 employees 2016 and beta net income for 44 billion which is a 10% a bottom I don't revenue which is not a not a bad return

Unknown 37:01

but says it's been around since 1934 for so 84 years is wholly owned the United States government Corporation operated as a prison labor for inmates okay

Unknown 37:13 so she received sale appropriated funds from from Congress

Unknown 37:18 that means they're they're earning their wages by having the civilian sector pay for them

Unknown 37:22

correct yeah it says as of 2016 unit cooperate 66 factory operations which have to do federal prisons nationwide offering more than 100 products and services in at Federal Supply classifications and areas including clothing textiles electronics fleet management vehicular components industrial products office furniture recycling activities and services related data entry see all those things that are that the prison but date but I don't know if they go outside the president what their products I don't know about it but but all those are things that the President muddled present system but the so then let's move on. And we'll talk about the kick in the nuts here

Unknown 37:56

in May to volunteer to fight California's largest fires tonight, actually access to jobs on release. California is perfectly happy to get people that do the firefighting stuff while they're incarcerated. But then when they get out, they try and go get a job doing the same thing and they go oh, you have a conviction. So we can't hire you that I again I know

Unknown 38:15

I mean, what's magical about that you put a person on the fire line when you're trying to save property and then when they're still crisis, they don't need to be on the fire line. You need people who've actually been good boys and girls I What the hell is your problem that you're just a liberal do gooder? But

Unknown 38:32

yeah, I mean I get your sarcasm but I can't if they were willing to do it when they were in effectively not being paid and just doing it like you just described in that they do it to get outside of the walls maybe they interact with some civilians which I think they keep all that segregated too because there would be the potential of the past some contraband around because you know you're out there fighting a fire sleeping at a fire tent overnight in case the fire turns in the wrong direction someone's going to hand you a cell phone like that you're worried that guys out there risking his life to try and help people save their \$500,000 homes and that's just that's all I can say is it's addictive it would appear that way

Unknown 39:10

they're not they're not dangerous will when there's a crisis but they're too dangerous to employ in a regular job setting i love it

Unknown 39:19 i think if I'm not mistaken that would be either a cognitive dissonance or just a complete uh

Unknown 39:25 what's the word what's hypocrisy that's the word I'm looking for Spock Chrissy yeah so moving on to a go ahead yeah that

Unknown 39:33 that's

Unknown 39:35

I can I can possibly consider occupational the barman when there's a reason for it. But that one, the article did not identify at least I didn't read it throw enough. If I did reason for the for the department.

Unknown 39:48

I think it's fair. If you've embezzled from your company that they're there you would have some sort of restriction over working in that capacity in the future. I think that's fair. If you're convicted of a sex crime against minors, I think it's fair to say that you should not work in some kind of daycare type setting school. I think that's fair.

Unknown 40:07

I think like say if there's a reason for the occupational disbar department there there would but I can't fighting fires this particular wildland fires that you got the urban firefighter where you're going into people's homes and saving children but if you're the firefighting apparatuses are different when you're fighting wildfires that those are those are not the urban the urban people I'm not thinking of what the reason for the department would be

Unknown 40:36

and this is from I don't even you know we get these a lot from this particular source and I don't know if it's law com if this is the daily report but anyway if you want the show notes This is from Sally Yates the former attorney I thought she was the Attorney General not just deputy attorney general Didn't she get a sign up she was acting briefly as attorney

Unknown 40:57 from from what a transition between Obama mantra so just

Unknown 41:01

by being acting and never officially you'd still hold your previous title of deputy yeah she was what would the transition took place the government has to run so lots of times you have okay

Unknown 41:13

you have you have people that are that are that are holdovers because the Attorney General went with Obama when he left but someone has to run the DL j until if everybody has something confirm so I think he was acting as attorney general until she expressed it she was Express express this guy's do this over over the travel ban Ravel. Yeah and when she said it was I had constitutional issues. She no longer was acting as attorney general they let her go

Unknown 41:40

right. And so this is gate says broad coalition aims to break over incarceration cycle despite Trump shifts. The byline is then the the former Deputy Attorney General said the Trump administration has largely abandoned reforms such as literacy classes and that she made to the federal prison system. But reformers across the ideological spectrum or at work in the states

Unknown 42:04

well, and I was wanting to combine this with another article that I failed to drop in and out was the the article that came out this week about the the Senate leadership now folks who don't follow politics, the Congress is under republican control. Right now, the majority party decides what legislation moves the chairs of the committee's and if something is going to be considered. And the bipartisan justice reform has been killed, again, installed because of the 2018 elections, which is the same thing that happened in 2016, there was legislation moving and they decided to stall it wants the candidate for president and Republican side said that he was going to be the Lord or the president. Well, the same thing has happened again, the house has passed some reform, but the Senate has yet to act. And they have decided at the leadership level that the Senate will not take these reforms up until after the election. Now, there's commitment being made by Senator Grassley, who's the chair of the judiciary committee that he will bring this bill forward after the November elections after the bid terms because right now, the leadership on the Republican side believes this is too volatile, they're going to look too soft on crime if they adopt this, so we're going to get a vote we're being promised after the election. But Yates is saying that somehow another we're going to break the log jam over this incarceration nation that we have. And regardless whether Trump gets on board, we're going to get it done. I hope she's right. But I'm appealing to the people who were the Republican Party registration to call your leadership. If you live in Iowa, call grassland. Tell him he's wrong. If you live in Texas, call center to corn and tell him he's wrong and tell him you want to see this legislation move and to break the log jam. The Democrats can't break the log jam. They don't have the majority. They can't they can't force to committed a move anything that can't bring an evangelist Lord, we have to have Republican support to do this. And government

filibuster do anything. Yeah.

Unknown 44:03

So So as I've said so many times before, the majority of our audience tends to be conservative. So this is the time for you to get the people who you identify most closely with to do the right thing because I can do tomorrow my side. Durbin's already said he's inclined to support it if it can get to the floor I can do more with a Republican support now. Yeah,

Unknown 44:28 well, so there you go. There's that

Unknown 44:31

ready to be a part of registry matters, get links it registry matters dot CEO. If you need to be discreet about it, contact them by email registry matters cast at gmail. com. You can call or text a ransom message to 747-227-4477 want to support registry matters on a monthly basis. Head to patreon. com slash registry matters not ready to become a patron. Give a five star review at Apple podcasts or Stitcher or tell your buddies that your treatment class about the podcast

Unknown 45:09 we want

Unknown 45:10

to send out a big heartfelt support for those on the registry. Keep fighting without you. We can't succeed you make it possible. Um. And then I'm pretty sure you drop this article in there. Also, this is from the Sacramento Bee California could lead the way on criminal justice reform. First, the legislature must do away with cash bail and we were just talking about this a minute ago what's the problem with cash bail

Unknown 45:35 well if you're a bail bondsman no problem whatsoever

Unknown 45:39

if but like doing do away with it I have I have some mixed feelings about it because it's like everything if you get into it there's pros and cons we talked about technology on episode about GPS monitoring and techno technological techno knowledge and the news and while you're behind it and the over you solve it and people who normally would just spin out reporting monthly to to a pre trial service worker if at all would be would be on a cash bail now there have all of these extensive requirements well I'm afraid that the same thing is going to happen when we do away with cash bail I believe what we're going to replace it with and I have great problems with it I have tremendous problems with it because big a fluid enough to post a bond does not make you less of a threat to the community but on the other hand you're supposed to be presumed innocent so we're supposed to bring it to the the minimum amount of restraint on you to assure that you participate in the process that's the primary focus of the decision making a releases can we get this person back when when trial comes and then additional considerations reflect the community safety if the person can get it's going to continue continue to gauge criminality that's a consideration. But if we do away with cash bail, which states are doing my mind included where we run the risk we're going to have everybody under God so at all this extensive supervision when they're technically presumed innocent, and and that that gives me trouble but that's the doing away with cash bail is problematic. The case just came down from the 11th circuit out of your state of Georgia. I'll leave out the city of Calhoun with with the municipal court requiring pre set cash bail at this 11th Circuit Court of Appeals. United States Circuit Court of Appeals said that there's no problem with cash bail,

Unknown 47:28

and I didn't read that opinion extensively, but it's it looks like that there there there might be limits to what what we can do to do away with cash bail, if it that's the legislature does it and by state the bondsman challenged and they lost but they said that the legislature can't do away with cash bail

Unknown 47:45

bondsman took took the the constitutional amendment to court and that was this master that they had a cost assessed against them for bringing a frivolous action Are you in the know of an alternate system, if you are \$7 an hour employee somewhere you setting a \$5 bill might be too much. But if you're Manafort and you've got a net worth of \$60 million, or something like that, you know, \$20 million might not be enough,

Unknown 48:13

you're right at the end that that's that's the that's the problem with this and they bail schedules. These preset bail schedules are so ridiculously at how they've invented them. I had a prosecutor tell me I should mention his name. But ask yourself what what the hell did you get your schedule how to help you come up with this? He says, well, Judge so and so did I said what did he do it on? He says well he looked at the maximum find it could be imposed on each of conviction and he said the bond for that so as you got two counts was a maximum five to 20 \$500 each did the bomb was \$5,000. I said, Well, that's a great system, isn't it? So a person who is very fluid can can can can squander \$5,000 they get together go out roam the countryside and a person who works at 725 an hour and probably have difficult to coming up with the \$500 fee plus the collateral because bonds bonds will require collateral for for bonds they don't just go in and take their chances unless it's a small one well those people get to languish in jail is if they were convicted it that's not a fair system either

Unknown 49:24

so what do what do we do Do you know what they may do in other countries that don't have this whole incarceration brown that we do I don't know in terms of but I hear that I that I that I do do more what we're trying to get with this more more pre trial cashless conditions are released, but my fear is that the industrial complex will make that so profitable that those costs will be you don't have to pay the \$500 bondsman. But you have to pay for the GPS monitoring well, as a condition of your pretrial release, we're going to require that you'd be on GPS. Well, why would you say, Oh, that's a \$75 week? Oh, well, let's say That's right. doesn't take long to you. And then I think you have to report to to a pretrial services worker and miss work. And if they they make pretrial release, almost as if you've been convicted. It's almost like a moment of probation. And I'm troubled by that you're Presumed Innocent

Unknown 50:17

I thought, do you remember? I'm pretty sure I have this right. Do you remember a French Prime Minister named Nicolas Sarkozy? Sure, yes, he's a bit that long out of office a decade or so.

Unknown 50:30

Okay. And I recall some shenanigans and but that doesn't really relate to what I'm saying. But it's as I recall the French you can't do the whole perp Walk of of having pictures taken they keep the media out of the whole process to give you a better chance at Presumed Innocent if everything shows up in the in the media will God you wouldn't have ended up being accused you and have been under suspicion, nothing like that. Had you not done something wrong? So so you're going in days zero, you're going in presumed to some level of guilt? Absolutely. The perp walk is one of the things that we need to outlaw. But the cops

Unknown 51:08

love it too much. Because there again, they're pandering and police. I hate to tell you this, but that's what you're doing. When you do this. When you go out and you call a press conference. And sometimes they don't call a press conference, they just learned to press but sometimes they call a full press conference. But when you do this, you're intending to humiliate and you're trying to manipulate the public opinion and you're trying to make sure that person doesn't have a shot at a fair trial. So you're gonna win your case that's wrong. How can you live with yourself doing

that? Of course, I know how you live with stuff because you don't care about due process and fairness, those of you who do that it's wrong. And it should happen to us states and sore cozy was an office until May of 2012. So he's been out about six years.

Unknown 51:47

Okay. And he got accused of some sexual misconduct and some other things, if I'm not mistaken, some indecent liberties with a maid in New York, I think.

Unknown 51:57 I think I remember that. Yes,

Unknown 52:00 you know, presumed innocent, I just, it feels like some other countries are doing somewhat of a better job on this subject than we are, well,

Unknown 52:08

well, a lot of countries do a lot better jobs and a lot of things and we do it but if you say that you're anti American, remember, somebody told us to leave or

Unknown 52:18 they did

Unknown 52:21 you

Unknown 52:22

I thought we wanted to make your country better that that was showing you how much you love your country. The President says he wants to make things better. And so do I. We just have a difference of opinion about what makes things better. But somehow another we don't love America. And we're pointing out that we are lagging behind the world in so many things. Infant mortality, we lead the world and bad list and we're down list way, Donald's good list the education is really plundered in terms of Americans leadership, there's so many things that we're we're not doing well in this country. And when we talk about that doesn't mean you're anti American, you want a better America? Right?

Unknown 53:00

The Indiana lawyer. com article talks about sex offender registration is mandatory collateral consequence. And it's a pretty short article. And, you know, we have something else that's going to even go over this in a little bit more detail later. But as I recall, it says, in particular, he said he would have had to admit the underlying facts supporting the requirement as part of his plea agreement in order to be placed on the registry. We've talked about that kind of subject before where while you're going through your treatment class, that you have to do some statement that saying you're guilty, even though maybe you aren't guilty, or maybe you're not as guilty as they're claiming.

Unknown 53:41

And here you go, after you've gotten out, you've you've done your time, maybe you're still on paper, but you've done your time. And now you have to go through mandatory treatment. And the treatment provider requires you to say, Yes, I did, I did the wrong thing. I put my thing here, whatever.

Unknown 53:57

Well, in this case, and I didn't read the decision, but I did read the story. And what it's about is that he he has this strange notion that I happen to agree with, but as far as many people consider it strange, we call this a sex offender

registry. He didn't commit a sex offense. he pled guilty to criminal confinement, okay. And it was the age of the victim that triggered the duty to register. And a lot of states have that

Unknown 54:26

in their in their code. If you you can go in and rob the subway and have no intention to have sex. But if you can find the teenager sort of work into the subway in the cooler, of course, you're trying to make a clean getaway and you lock them up so they won't be able to report you, which I'm not condoning locking them up and the cool or anything like that. But that's hardly a sexually motivated crime. But that'll put you on the sex offender registry in some states. So his issue was that he didn't commit a sexual offense. But in Indiana, they have that on the list. But depending on the age of the person, I was confined, he pled guilty. And he said, Well, in the plea agreement, they're the ones that mentioned, but but everybody knew the age of the person that he can find, and the courts that too bad, so sad, the legislature put that on the list. And that's not for us to decide what delish should be it's free the elected officials to decide what delicious beta list includes you and you shall register that's what the court said, just like that woman in Virginia that didn't report so here she goes, she has to go to some treatment class and report that she is a sex offender. Hi, I'm Jane. I'm a sex offender. I've been so we're for you know, 12 months now, I haven't not reported my kid having said I mean, what is she supposed to admit guilt to or you know, some sort of statement of criminal conduct?

Unknown 55:45 I guess you would just say that I was a derelict parent

Unknown 55:51

but they'd probably bought her to say that she was perfect and sneaking and the open the door watching something.

Unknown 55:56

Yeah, she was washing her kid. Wow, that's a there's there's movie from back in the 80s maybe called taboo that's kind of like that. I don't know anything about that. I'm just saying. So

Unknown 56:10

that issue was about the list and the legislature made the list and the court said we're not we definitely legislating from the bench if we were to decide that that I mean if you don't want that list you need to go back get the legislature taken off the list yes and and those who are believing conservative jurisprudence that would be the kind of court you would like because you don't want the judges legislating there will from the bench Do you know we totally don't want the Robie people to do anything other than interpret that and I interpret it and they say it's all the list and by golly he'll have to register until the list changes

Unknown 56:49

well will the second to last article before we go through this long court decision is from the guardian and hundreds dead no one charged the uphill battle against the Los Angeles police killing tell us about this one there

Unknown 57:02

well I put it in here because it gives me a chance to pontificate about the citizens and the police but the the the real purpose of it was to draw attention to the huge number of citizens that are killed across this country at the hands of law enforcement and how nonchalant we are about it it's like I believe the countless 374 in the article and and you don't happen to know offhand how many were killed in Canada Do you I don't but I can assure you it's far less because they don't the British and Canadians don't heavily armed they're cops they don't use that as their primary means of controlling the situation from 2010 to 2014 police in LA County shot 375 people says about one person every five days black residents makeup 9% of population but represent 24% of the deaths and since it since 2000 there have been no charges for more than 1500 shootings by police in the county no charges for more than 1500 shootings

Unknown 58:05

that that that's shocking to me yeah I see I see the videos of some of these and I can't believe I of course they do charge on the one of the hotel Vegas He was charged but the jury refused to convict them they said that the guy called crawling but this with his panties on with his skivvies that he posed a threat to the officer when he was facedown and officer had legitimate fear for his safety so therefore he shot the guy

Unknown 58:30

just just poking around number fatal shootings by us police in 2017 set to exceed 1000 that's from the global news dot ca yes I'm sure that's fake news media

Unknown 58:42

and a number of police shot is usually in the dozens it's like it's like the odds are a whole lot more you get killed by the police and the police getting killed as and it's like that the general reaction which drives me up the wall is like 11 year old girl that we talked about God taste last week peace say you should just comply the guy in the hotel was complying he was on his face crawling begging for his life yes some of these people get shot for doing nothing they you know it's like we But America tolerates that we we for some reason this should be a polling and it would be a polygon European countries if this were happening that course that's why it doesn't happen that's why they don't have this appalled because they don't they don't have to be appalled because they don't have the police shooting their citizens like we have

Unknown 59:34

it's very sad I would I've brought this up to someone that I have lunch with on a regular basis and I asked him specifically I was talking about the this month maybe six weeks ago where the the skinny black kid he was at a waffle house this was maybe in North Carolina Tennessee something like that he had taken a sister to her prom like junior high school prom or something like that and he gets into an argument with the Waffle House staff they call the cops and some Goliath seven foot tall 350 pounds behemoth of a human comes and he

Unknown 1:00:02

was always he was always 16 unless don't exactly

Unknown 1:00:05

my bed and I mean he's almost got the kid in like a Darth Vader chokehold lifting him up up against the building, and some guys will Why didn't comply and I understand you probably should comply, but it shouldn't be. The consequences of it should not be Judge Dredd. You shouldn't just die, you shouldn't end up with a cop's knee and your back face down in the dirt. It just seems very heavy handed the way that we do this

Unknown 1:00:31

it is and it's going to continue to bet babe away until we say enough is enough. And I applaud the lawyers that are bringing cases for wrongful death and getting millions of dollars from the taxpayers. And when the taxpayers finally get tired of paying the billions of dollars perhaps maybe they will demand change that's what start has begun to happen in Albuquerque here because we've paid out so much money and finally the department justice under the liberal do good president came in and investigated and found we have a pattern of excessive force and they were under consent agreement to revamp our use force policies. And believe it or not, the use of force has dropped precipitously once you don't tolerate it. It stops when you've taught when you tolerate tasing, 11 year old girls in the back it will continue to happen when you don't tolerate it or to stop it's just that simple there's some things they're very complicated police brutality will stop when we demanded it stopped

Unknown 1:01:31

to change subjects just a little bit I just learned that john mccain died

Unknown 1:01:35

I knew when they discontinue treatment they said yesterday that his he had a very limited amount of time which means that the there will be an appointment made by Governor I believe his name is do see in Arizona and he will serve until the next general election which at this late cycle I think it's too late for him to have to to for him to get on the general election ballot that states have cut off dates for general election Valentine may be too late so he may have a full two years before the next year election but before that appointed person has to face the voters so 2020 would be when that individual well possibly is only 18 I don't know Arizona law but but it's very late in the game here we were 60 days will born 60 days from a general election and it may be too late to put a person on the ballot and I'll like lemonade and not and

Unknown 1:02:20

not just one person I mean it would be people that would try and step up and run right

Unknown 1:02:24

right but it but but this this late stage and although they'll let the party apparatuses name somebody so the republican party would be able to name somebody but assuming they could they could gear their machinery up which usually goes through the state Central Committee which takes some time to assemble then their machinery it has to get to the it has to get on the ballot the balance have to be produced by the Secretary of State and distributed all the counties and it's just it's like to me it's awful late in the process for all that machinery to take place and put a person on the ballot is the Republican nominee so I suspect that that appointment will and the Democratic Party Good afternoon same thing because I worked there were planning on opening so you'd have to have to party apparatuses figure out how to get a candidate and all that stuff would have to take place in a compact period of 60 days or suspected that person will will be appointed they'll serve until till 2020 till the next general election You seem to be a pretty honorable dude

Unknown 1:03:19

Well now that we have this news coming during the podcast I can pontificate a little bit I admire john mccain yeah john mccain served with great honor in this the military he was held prisoner and he he declined an opportunity to be released because of his father's rank up Admiral and he stayed in the Hanoi prison for five plus years and he he he was an independent thinker more conservative than I am Oh many issues but he didn't just walk lockstep with with with the party and it's a great loss to have john mccain pass away

Unknown 1:03:55 if you're some

Unknown 1:03:56 of these uh. who's who's the who's the guy that was doing the the election counting thing not Christie it's

Unknown 1:04:06

somewhere in the Midwest somebody got appointed by Trump to do the the election fraud commission and then they just expanded it Yes. And I'm just like, I've never heard him say anything just completely out in the wind out in the wind somewhere of just conspiratorial or just nutty kind of thought processes. He just always, you know, finding is conservative. I don't particularly care if, you know, as long as you can, like, back it up and have some sort of rational conversation around it to just see that there are a whole bunch of very strange thinking people that end up Devon Nunez is one of the people that just can't figure out where they're coming from.

Unknown 1:04:43

Well, with McCain, he he followed his predecessor was Barry Goldwater who what's much of the same mold for older people that remember Barry Goldwater, he had an independent streak, and even once thought it was when it was not

popular. He takes a firm that sexual orientation should not be thought about in the military. He said, I'm not worried about whether they're straight, he's I'm worried about where they can shoot straight and

Unknown 1:05:08

that seems to be more important and so became followed that Goldwater modeling and it's unfortunate that he's taken the criticism based taken from the president. It's beneath the dignity of my opinion,

Unknown 1:05:22

to say, what has been said about him, and it's a great loss, and I'm sorry to hear that.

Unknown 1:05:27

Yeah, me too. Um, and let's move on. You use you drop this on me last night. And I did. I didn't do what you call me read you. Yeah, I read the whole thing

Unknown 1:05:54

so here's my understanding of this. This is out of Illinois. And this was from the appellate court there's an individual named Devin coach of our which is a unique name on its own. And he started dating a girl, not data. He started he knew of a girl, I think, when he was 15, and she was 12 or whatever, they're in the same school together. They're they're doing sports together, and they eventually move from just a friendship kind of relationship into something of a sexual nature. And I think even the first time that they did it, he's a senior in high school, but he's 18 years old, and he has sex with a 15 year old and as far as everything I read, no one claims anything as far as you know, it's not a rape kind of charge. It was no coercion It was no nothing outside of puppy love, so to so to speak, you know, just a springtime loving the air

Unknown 1:06:48

and, and I'm asking you all these things, so you can correct if I have the story, right, that then some officers show up at school and they I guess they asked him to come down to the police station to fill out a statement of what's going on. The two detectives, police officers that come to the school are people that even coached him in sports years earlier. So kind of a friend of the family at least you know there's some kind of relationship there and they have him fill out his statement and you brought it to me and we're we're explaining that so he fills out a statement saying that Yeah, I did these things maybe it was wrong but I didn't do anything illegal they came back to him a couple times and had him Amanda sent his statement and I'm gonna let you pick up from there Did I get close though you did a pretty good job on

Unknown 1:07:37

that I put the case in here because it's an appellate level decision from Illinois and Illinois has had some tough registry requirements and they've had some unfortunate bad outcomes on litigation challenging and this is this was a good outcome on on litigation and I I read the case and took an interest in it because of all different things that were wrapped up in this case that we can talk about and the the thank you it's actually like 17 when he started seeing this 14 year old girl and then they became sexually involved in and he had sex with her number of times according to the statement that he wrote things 20 or 30 it was a hell of a number of times and but but the the the parents reported it and the defendant coach of our he he argued for suppression with his statement into the the parents reported it the small town of profits town I don't know the population but with a name like that it's not going to be a huge down I can tell you profit sale

Unknown 1:08:49

I better not say anything derogatory because I really don't know anything about the town but it seems like it would be a small town once you Google it find out what it what the population is down here but but the way described the police chief coming out the police chief all in small towns investigates things personally, they went to the high school and they took the young man to the police station on handcuffed and and have a discussion with him about the report that

had come into the to them about the sexual activity which was reported by the girl's parents. And he freely admitted that he had engaged in a relationship to became romantic. And he cared about the girl and he wrote a statement for the police with their requests. And that kind of more than requested I said, you have to give us a statement. And he he wrote a state mother than he appended to it a couple more times after, after the assumption made by the court was that that it wasn't quite what they were looking for. So therefore, they encourage them to write more. And he wrote he wrote a more complete statement. And then then they indicted him charged with the crime. And and he went to trial, he sought suppression of that of that statement, that confession, and he lost that suppression. Under what grounds Well, it was not a course confession, you have the right to incriminate yourself. That's why they say you have the right to remain silent. Anything you say can and will be used against you.

Unknown 1:10:22

And when I say you've got a right to remain silent, what people should say is you've told me enough. I'm I'm remaining silent. You just told me. Yes. He was properly Mirandize at \$8 Okay. But I mean,

Unknown 1:10:38

it seemed like in the in the in the in the document that they were, he wasn't presented fat upon their his initial interaction with the police, he may have done that when he got into the interrogation room, whatever the room would be where he did this, but they came to the school and I don't know that it didn't sound like he was being brought into custody. so to speak. Yes. He

Unknown 1:11:00

was Mirandize that police station but but he was he was he was he was given his Miranda rights. And he chose to talk he chose to give a statement as he's not doing anything like a legal like well, you know, that's and that's what we're headed with this he he thought that a consensual romantic relationship. And in the home of the free land of the free and home of the brave. Hey, thought that that that he was not doing anything wrong. And and he thought that the police he grew up being taught that the police were your friend. And they're there to help and you respect the badge. They're the good guys. And so he he thought he was having an informal chat with police and which is typical the police if you don't have any experience with the police, almost everyone is going to is going to do that. Because if you grow up in America and more commonly, if you grow up in more prosperous and more conservative, more white America, you're going to be taught great reverence for the police. You don't get that same flight. That same teaching if you grow up in the in the in the less well prosperous places where kids are having to compete and fend for themselves. And there's there's interaction with the police that are less positive you're you're told to be more cautious about the police and you're not told it to assume that they're your friend and they're always there to help but but an average person growing up in middle class America has not go to get that lesson. And he right he he thought that he was in the hands of a friend and that these these officers had coached him and literally and

Unknown 1:12:32

so he would have wouldn't wouldn't that make up a case for them to recuse themselves from being the person that would go there

Unknown 1:12:39 why would why would that do that their jobs

Unknown 1:12:42

to be the police but because as soon as you have some kind of personal dealings I mean, that's when you go sit on a jury that's the the one of the things that they're going to use to disqualify you from sitting on a jury. So wouldn't being the person that's investigating also have some sort of bent on that influenced you? And in this case, it's kind of a different type of influence that he they can have an air of being a friend, hey, we're not we're not trying to roast you so you can be friends with us? Well,

Unknown 1:13:11

that's what he argued, has pressured motion that it didn't work at the trial court level, and it didn't work at appellate court level.

Unknown 1:13:17

I personally find these thing I think it's incredibly rational and reasonable to think that these people had a level of trust there with this individual, and it tarnishes their objectivity in getting the statement from him.

Unknown 1:13:30

Well, I have no doubt that it played a significant role in as well as to talk but the courts have guidance on what constitutes coercion and and what that you want confessions for they would they use rubber hoses back in the day, you don't want those confessions coming in, because you can torture someone to confessed anything, right. But American jurisprudence is not designed around

Unknown 1:13:55

what people do have their own free will. This guy was 18 years old, he was an average to above average student, multi sport athlete, he understood the English language he he was not being lifted, chained. He wasn't even being handcuffed. He was having a conversation which he freely engaged in, and he was not savvy enough to know what the consequences are gonna be the jurors, the justice system doesn't protect you from from that it protects you from the whips and chains and the hoses,

Unknown 1:14:26

but come on, come on, Larry, I can I can throw all kinds of crazy computer concepts out you and you will understand the words but you don't understand the ramifications of my words. I agree with you. But that's not where jurisprudence is. But that's exactly what this is. They say hey, do you know that you have a right to remain silent? If you've never considered what this means? What this whole process means? Yeah, sure, you have the right to remain silent. I can but why would I they don't know people generally speaking, they do not know that these things are absolutely life threatening. They have a massive life impact. But

Unknown 1:15:04

But jurisprudence has has not evolved to protect people from unwise decisions I mean, you can advocate for that and I somewhat agree with you but that's not where we are you don't want runaway courts changing the rules constantly because of what your damn liberal do good are sitting on a podcast saying that that that a person who's a normal intelligence doesn't understand what the right to remain silent is and he finds a document says I do understand it I choose to talk I mean that doesn't sell very well to to the average person out there that he knew what he was doing as far as the the standards that are used he he was of sound mind enough to make the decision to talk and he talked

Unknown 1:15:45

and I don't know in this process how much of 18 he was but if you roll the clock back let's just I'm just going to make the assumption that this all came about two days after he turned 18 if he was two days earlier he is now more intelligent more no more savvy or anything like that but now they can't do anything because he's still a minor

Unknown 1:16:05

well the rules will change it had supposed to have apparently they're right there would be a guardian and that interrogation but but he he was I get I get your point it's not like he's any more you know, he's he's two days older, but a number has increment it to the point that he can now go get killed in war. Okay. But but the but the police already feel like their hands are tied. And they're already arguing that Miranda should be completely weakened. The Supreme Court has weakened Miranda they have a couple of times in the last four or five years, they've already wicked Miranda used to wants you and vote your right to remain silent. That was it. But now the police after and I can't cite the name of

the case. But they said that they can continue to come back and ask you again and again and again. It so and Justice Kagan, I believe it was said that that spends the right to remain silent it on its head because you have to to speak in order to continue to search your right to remain silent. She said

Unknown 1:17:01

because because you have to continue to speak in order to assert a right that you have right then as I've seen in TV shows, hey, look, I want my lawyer I'm exercising my fifth amendment. That's the end of it. And the police don't come back in the room until your lawyer shows up. And now they can say Hey, are you ready to talk yet? And they can starve you out and not give you bathroom breaks and water and so forth until you're a little bit more compliant. Well, I would hope that that doesn't happen. But I hear too many reports that it does that that would be that would be a technique that would be highly questionable. If I were on the

Unknown 1:17:34

defense side of that and the person had been interrogated for 14 hours without food. I would argue that they were under duress that would be very unwise, please practice to do that. I'm not saying it doesn't happen because we have reports but it would be foolish to do that

Unknown 1:17:48

there was a line in there that says once the state has made up prima facia showing that the statement was voluntary, the burden shifts to the defendant. What does that mean problem?

Unknown 1:18:00

Well, they showed they shall did it did it that it happened it was it was a threshold showing that it happened. And then that was once I met the burden of showing that he did do the confession that the burden shifted to him. And he didn't meet his burden. He he he was competent to make the condition and

Unknown 1:18:22

but you know, you have you have 16 running around 16 year olds who are functionally capable of 30 year old decisions but you also have 25 year olds running around that are not capable of adult decisions to just just this this little boy fabricated

Unknown 1:18:38

Well, we found off on a tangent different than what I had visualized I wanted I wanted to illustrate the difficult in setting SATA confession okay. And you're illustrating that point to some degree because if under all the the the best showing that he made about the police pretended to be his friend and that they have been coach and all the stuff that he said, I just turned 18, if you can't set that confessional side Can you imagine confessions when when when people say well, how do you attorney fighter to file a motion? Well, because they are never granted. And oftentimes when you follow the motion, and you lose the deal offer goes sour, because then you don't have any more ammunition in your arsenal. Because the the state already knows that you just lost a big part of your ammo because there's no longer and certainty how the judge is going to rule you now know that the compassion comes in now this case the state didn't need to confession because the girl showed up and she testified and she was believable and the girl testified. So the court took that into consideration they said the confessional wasn't the material deciding factor in terms of his guilt and the there was not enough evidence legal but not the compassion if we'd had been set aside but I wanted to talk about how difficult it is to set aside a confession you better keep your mouth shut when the police come to see but they say you have a right to remain silent that's all human need to hear you say look you've told me enough

Unknown 1:20:04

Tell me tell me this if you are having just a conversation with the police and not we haven't triggered anything investigatory is that that that's the right way to pronounce that

Unknown 1:20:15

do that is still something of evidence that the COP is now witness to you saying something even though it's not directly as part of the case going on and and I say that in contrast to when they actually say you have the right to remain silent You are correct and that's an astute observation on your part because stuff that you're dumb enough to admit to the police unsolicited they can use and and the the right to remain silent is not people all the time. They said well, he arrested me and he took me to jail and he didn't he didn't have to fit it wasn't gonna question you. It's a right to get a get self incriminating if the cops have all the evidence they need. And they come out and say, Andy, couple dollars and they go throw in the cans. I have a great day. They don't have to read you a damn thing. Yeah. Because they're not interrogating you. It's a custodial situation where the police wish to interrogate you. custodial doesn't have to be in a jail. It doesn't have to be at the police station. The normal standard is when a person of order intelligence reasonably feels that they can't leave, then you're in custody. And so each case has to be evaluated. Whether you were in a custodial situation, I make the argument if you're in your own home, and there's 26 SWAT officers around you,

Unknown 1:21:29

because when they do these porn rates and stuff, they just so over react with the massive amount of firepower which leads How come we have so many shootings which we talked about in the previous segment. But but they overreact a person of average and ordinary intelligence a should not have to flee their home because that's your sanctuary, but be how would you feel comfortable and walking a gauntlet of people with riot gear? Absolutely. So that would probably qualify as a custodial interrogation, even though you're not in the jailhouse, you're not in the police station. So So what's up the custodial status? That's what determines whether they have to Mirandize you if they wish to interrogate you and you're in custody if they don't wish to interrogate you, you don't have any fear of incriminate yourself because they lock you up, slam the door say have fun hope you bake bond,

Unknown 1:22:20 there's all day to reach your rights.

Unknown 1:22:22

Yeah, I understand what you're saying about the direction about trying to get this thing thrown out. And you brought up you know, if you're under this huge amount of duress that you were coerced into it from the direct side I would still say that you know the expression will be you get more bees with honey than vinegar you would get more people to course not course to to give a statement to a potentially admit to something if you're nice to them you have a good cop bad cop Oh, hang on share so and so he's the bad guy I'm here to help you do you want a cigarette here? Do you want a soda here let me get you a sandwich now the guys can be like oh sweet this guy's nice he's gonna help me but that's that should carry the same amount of weight of being a coercion than the other direction of them treating if torturing you are somehow mistreating you

Unknown 1:23:09

would say that you should be getting all legal team and you should make that argument. It has, it has it has seldom worked and

Unknown 1:23:19 didn't work in this case. Because they the the, the

Unknown 1:23:25

intent is to prevent people from being tortured, like what we're doing over and Abu Ghraib or how they pronounce it, that That's intense. But but it's presumed that you have a personal of average intelligence those when they say you have a right to remain silent. But that's actually makes you have a right to remain silent. So don't say anything. Yeah, no

doubt, keep your mouth shut. There's another block in there that says something he had to make a statement and I think this is something similar to we talked about the legal term sometimes of shall This feels similar to that, that he had to make a statement Well,

Unknown 1:24:06

that's what the police told him that he had to make a statement and I don't know what authority they would tell him he had to make a statement because he got wings that here till Doomsday had not gonna make it so but but he didn't. He didn't have any reason to tell him that because he believed as he was raised in his family upbringing that the police were his friends. That's what 90% of the people teach their kids that's why it's so shocking with our people. Most of our people have live law abiding lives and when they come into this they're so shocked that what they thought would never happen happens to them because this stuff sounded like mumble jumble from bleeding hearts they were talking about police misconduct and they don't believe how widespread and wrap up this is he never his parents I'm sure never would have believed that the police and profits town would bring a charge against an upstanding citizen who was having a consensual relation ship until it happened to them they would have thought the police were overworked understaffed the bad guys out all the advantages and that that that this would never happen by the way what's the population profits now it was it increased dramatically over the last from one census to the next if you believe in those things it went from you ready for this from

Unknown 1:25:20 the drop roll to drop

Unknown 1:25:21 yeah yeah so the population in 2010 was 2080 that's up from 2023 in the 2000 census

Unknown 1:25:30

when it by about 47 people well you you can just read that between the lines if the police chief is personally living investigation it's not going to be a very large place the only reason the police chief be involved if it was a large place if it was a very very high profile case of something that's going to get get get them on the news and then you'd see the police chief poke their head into it but they didn't believe police chief of us or department of 500 officers it's not gonna be personally going out and making the rest and that type of thing so something

Unknown 1:26:00

that struck me in there was and this comes out of block 31 that the it talks about there's extra questions and answers that they kept coming back to them saying you know we need you to add this or this isn't quite what we're looking for what again we're that feels more like a leading

Unknown 1:26:17

leading environment where they're trying to get him to say something something specific for them what why is that problematic well it did it was problematic for us but it wasn't a problem matter for the court date

Unknown 1:26:30

the police were wanting to get as much as I could in terms of see when you on the police side you don't know if the girl is going to cooperate it's so much better having people have second thoughts and they feel bad and and they don't show up well if you've got a confession you still got the leverage so the police were getting a strong the cases they could just in case I of course witnesses do die they get run over by buses, different things happen to them and so you have a you this case go forward even if the banished from the planet as long as I had an airtight confessional in this case, she was president she didn't need that. But a paragraph 33 where you said paragraph 3331 31

Unknown 1:27:12 Ok, back to 31. And yeah,

Unknown 1:27:18 clearly the court picked up on that because I said to

Unknown 1:27:22

the actual nature of that the fact is driven home by series of questions and answers appeared below the the sign hand written statement and that's what they do they have you sign the end of the handwritten statement and then he had an addendum to it so the police if you if you didn't want them to know that you're actually it is a advice to the police if you get a handwritten statement and it's not quite what you need, don't do an appendage to it have a bright another one, give them a nice steak dinner or something and have a brand new statement so that it's not because of court picked up on the fact that he continued to be encouraged that additional details it didn't matter their interpretation, but there may be other courts that might interpret it differently. So his advice, please make sure you have them write a brand new statement rather than doing a percentage. That's something

Unknown 1:28:04

else that jumped out at me. Well, first of all, let me ask you this question. What does include Tory mean? Well, it's the opposite of exculpatory. Alright, and the definition of those two things would be

Unknown 1:28:18

criticized for not well we get criticized for using acronyms sauna and and all that stuff. So I was hoping that we could get these these terms that because I'm looking at in cold Victoria, my my eyes roll Why do why can't people read court decisions is because they use these this archaic language that isn't common to us lay people it's so

Unknown 1:28:39 in implying or impure in guilt tending to incriminate, okay?

Unknown 1:28:44 Okay? So exculpatory evidence would be something that helps prevent it

Unknown 1:28:48

would be it would be better at like, the prosecutor has a duty to disclose exculpatory evidence, okay, the defense does not have the duty to disclose in Collaboratory evidence but doesn't it means about want to make sure I gave it a definition in the dictionary. I'm not authorized to practice law. So

Unknown 1:29:06 otherwise not giving legal advice. I'm just reading from the dictionaries folks, no legal advice has been given on the registry matters plug

Unknown 1:29:16

in block repairing or 41 They say the sex offender statutory scheme is unconstitutional as it applies to this individual. I thought this was kind of an all inclusive thing, hey, you can be a mom in Virginia and you and you don't report now you're on the registry. This guy is actually committing with the statute says is a crime. And they say it doesn't it should maybe shouldn't apply to him. They did say that. And it's gonna be interesting, because that's the second of the station the from the form the Court of Appeals. And the other one was called Tatar that came out of it,

Unknown 1:29:48

and I haven't read better, so I can't comment on it. But I didn't think they were referencing that in here. They they were referencing the decision and they say, we realize that we're going against our prior supreme court press in the state. We, we we get that. But why I wanted this on the podcast is because they said that the Supreme Court of the United States and Smith versus doe did not say that all forms of registration will always be constitutional. And they

went through the Kennedy Mendoza test of the factors and the five factors that there's actually seven but a couple of are not generally deep relevant for for for sex voter registration for the analysis. If that schema flicks punishment, but they looked at at the at the level of the crime, which was a very minor crime. This was a misdemeanor that he was convicted, he was given, I think, 270 days in jail or something, I'll have it suspended but 10 and then two years of supervised probation, right. Yet he again, he has a has a lifetime of registration obligations with very harsh prohibitions in terms of what he can't do with all the disabilities restraints. Well, the Supreme Court and said that you can register because you're not imposing a disability or, or right, you're not imposing any occupational departments, you're not doing all these things. Well, the inverse of that is Illinois, many states are doing all these things. So this Court of Appeals said that we realized that the hard courts and in this nation of the hardcore in our state has said that registration, it's not unconstitutional. But this registration is it it's very punitive to this young man who still quite young, he's in his 20s now. But the very, very, very young fella and he's got a lifetime of punishment, and they found it to be cruel and unusual punishment. And disproportionate, they also they also had a disproportionality

Unknown 1:31:42

so at the towards the end of him paragraph 49 it says his status as a sex offender is this broadcast to the world and he faces a lifetime of employment rejection public to Spain distain impairment of his employment of parental involvement and his discharge of parental responsibilities, curtailment of his liberty to live where he chooses and to move freely about his community suspicion and permanent stigma. I'm bothered by this in that they are just saying that this applies to him. But that applies to all of us. Well, this was all a challenge for for them This was coach of ours can

Unknown 1:32:19

do it right. So they can't they can't say that this is a this one has applied challenge and they're analyzing what was brought before. But that then at the appeals court that can that then carries a certain amount of extra weight than just being a normal court decision that that can be referenced late. Absolutely. It's it's it to the extent that it will stands almost certain appeal by the state, it's very likely that the state will not lie down and take this, they will probably attempt to overturn it by following a petition to have the state's highest court reviewed this appellate level decision. But in the event that they didn't do that this would be something that other attorneys could cite to. And if our practitioner Illinois, I would bring a much larger action based on on this decision if it should withstand scrutiny or not be challenged, and why can't this be used in another state? And well, it has as evidence or whatever is the word Well, it's it can be cited as persuasive authority in other states, but it's not binding on state but but out of state non jurisdictional if you look at a table of authorities and their their their site it all the time out of state enough excited but but but it's not binding the state of this looking at it and say it was very nice analysis appreciate it. But we're, we're gonna ignore it or we take it very seriously. It was very well done. This was actually a well done analysis. This is a very, very well done analysis. It's it

Unknown 1:33:44 seemed legit, I get your point about the

Unknown 1:33:49

it seems very much that they were trying to lead him to make this statement. And then you've also brought up that they you know how hard it is to get it thrown out. But I I do feel that some of the statements he knew what he was doing was illegal. Now, he might not have understood what the implications of punishment would be. But there were just some statements that led me to believe that he was it to some degree aware that his there there may be consequences for his actions.

Unknown 1:34:15

I don't know that I agree with you on that. Because when when the police come talk to you, sometimes you've not thought through because the police get they'll give you a guilt trip on it. Do you really think it's right? For if you had a kid and you were a parent, and you're you had this 18 year old big strong jock and this girl is 15 would you want you can you see the problem that with that. And then once you get the god primed for, for for your way of thinking, then

when he writes the statement, it's much easier for him to say, but I don't know that he would written a stable but a lot of coaching and the court picked up on there was some coaching taking place blush, that doesn't change the fact that he knew he had the right not to do that, in their opinion, cuz he understood what he was doing. And he voluntarily folks Keep your mouth shut when the police come to see you.

Unknown 1:35:07

And also in the analysis. At the end, it says any failure of strict compliance with the numerous technical registration and notification requirements results in an automatic extension for yet another 10 years. It's the guy is only going to do two years of probation. But he's getting saddled with this for forever, never ending reign of terror against his life. And he's only 20 years old as you said,

Unknown 1:35:32

it's it's it's great news. Now, if they had decided to throw out the confession he they there was enough evidence to convict him. So the convention when we will still held up and we'd still be right where we are and your consternation his idea should have thrown out to confession, but it might Yeah, it would have made any difference.

Unknown 1:35:52

Oh, and I totally get that. It just, it totally feels to me is like when the devil comes and knocks on your door. He can't come in. I don't know why this is the case. But I mean, anyway, you have to invite the devil in. So who do you send you send the super duper hot chick in the skin tight dress, you're like, wow, yeah, come on, in. Or you send in the super duper charismatic guy. And he's all just about Hey, why don't you let me come in and he's super nice to you and brings you a steak dinner like sweet Come on in, let's have some food now. He's been invited in. To me, this feels just like that. That they use their friendship kind of situation and layer level of trust to get him to make a statement when he may have thought otherwise. Given you know, a reasonable intelligence as you keep saying,

Unknown 1:36:31

well, and the lesson to learn this or helping our podcast listeners and people is when the police tell you have the right to remain silent. That's all you need to hear. You can tell them stop right there. Yep. Because I'm not saying another word. I

Unknown 1:36:48

only have a couple of the things I would like to have you helped me unpack this paragraph 61 says when a legislature uses prior convictions to impose burdens that outpaced the laws stated civil and there's room for a serious argument. The ulterior purpose is to revisit past crimes not prevent future once I love that there's a lot in there

Unknown 1:37:09

it is there's a lot in there that and that's that's the the court was hinting in my opinion that they feel that the legislators have used registries as a way of extending punishment because one legislature like say, it's a direct quote with legends use prior conviction and pose burdens that outpaced the last state of civil aims. There's room for serious argument about the altar of purpose to read visit crimes. That's exactly what sorta does the victims advocates come down and say, we have the right to humiliate this person for the rest of their life, know where they are to hound them and harass them and the legislators, legislators say Yes, you do. They don't say no, ma'am. Actually, you don't.

Unknown 1:37:54

And flip that over to I know you're so against the the statistics, but it says not prevent future ones. So they're admitting that it doesn't hurt anything in the future. And the statistics technically then do matter as far as this goes as far as being a waste of effort and resources in the on the public policy side. Well, but

Unknown 1:38:12 the court doesn't get to decide that whether Unknown 1:38:14 I know that I totally know that. Yeah,

Unknown 1:38:17

but their state their stated aims is to protect society, and it doesn't protect society. And they're doing it to further extend that you, you know, you're 18 and you had sex with this 15 year old but now you're going to spend, you're gonna be 55 years old, and you're not gonna be able to get a job somewhere. Because when you were 1840 years ago, 35 years ago, you you weren't having fun with a with a kid and we

Unknown 1:38:41 can't have that you were a kid yourself.

Unknown 1:38:45

If you're a kid, your kid yourself, your kid yourself? Well, we can't help I give myself a question. He wasn't good enough. He was he killed himself having fun in it. We can't help that in America. We like to control people's lives and who they sleep with. And I'm not advocating that there should be an unrestricted age or of course of consent. But at some point we need to but out and realize that nature has intended for this to happen. And I'm not saying so don't need to send 100 emails about about an advocate I'm advocating sex of unrestricted nature but I just don't see a particular thing abnormal about young people that are within three years of their age I mean Hello in school

Unknown 1:39:29 together even

Unknown 1:39:30

Yeah, I mean, really, you've got so much government resources that you have time to monitor the sex lives of teenagers in school

Unknown 1:39:40 essentially is there anything wrong with that picture there's a

Unknown 1:39:43 lot wrong with it here's my last question we're running very very long do you think that there's a racial component into this whole thing

Unknown 1:39:50 I think that there could have been because of the

Unknown 1:39:54

early on the first stages of the opinion the the young man he tried to impeach the girls testimony by saying that that she really didn't want to do it but it was motivated by race by her parents and that was suggests to me that his race was different than her race and since I looked at this picture he appears to be black so I'm suspecting that that the racial motivation was that the parents didn't we can't have that

Unknown 1:40:22 and that may have driven them to call the police and then without looking at demographics of profits down I suspect it's 99.872% white

Unknown 1:40:33 so mom and dad are mad at the black kid for shacking up with her daughter so they call the cops on him basically

Unknown 1:40:39

that that's a possibility like that I'm reading between the lines of he wouldn't have brought that up. It's a PHR because clearly he he somebody was with the accuser, she wouldn't have been black legend racism by white side B to B to B, since he looks like he's darks him that he was saying that her parents were motivated not by the fact there's something wrong with it. But but like that they had a distaste for the interracial relationship. And that does happen even today. In the United States of America. People have problems with racial relationships. Yes, they

Unknown 1:41:15

do. Because you can't have you can't cross the streams. As they said in Ghostbusters. If you remember that movie back Back in the day,

Unknown 1:41:24

I have heard of it. You can't have the darkies messing with the whities I have heard of that I don't buy into it at one time I I could I could rationalize what they were saying because the kids as I was growing up and remember I'm older than dirt the kids that were that were interracial did get a lot of harassment. And I just felt it was unfair for a child not having any choice to be brought into all this.

Unknown 1:41:50 So the demographics of that particular town is 95.9% white. Oh, excuse me all those off with us

Unknown 1:41:58 like not an eight seven

Unknown 1:42:01 Yeah, you're off by fought for three.

Unknown 1:42:04 So so

Unknown 1:42:06

well, that's all I got. That's really interesting. I'm really excited about the way that they worded those things at the end, that that seems like something that could be latched onto by, you know, our attorney friend there in North Carolina and so forth to as, as further you know, feathers in the camp, whatever ammunition that I'm gonna

Unknown 1:42:23

live like that. So make this a try to have a conference call with the legal team, if I can get them on and. And then we'll talk more Gundy next week because we've run out of time yet, but we'll talk about that next next episode.

Unknown 1:42:38

But one other thing before we close out? I'm trying a new way of recording this. So let me know how it sounds. I don't think you're going to hear anything different. But from your side there. You never dropped as far as I know, that's

Unknown 1:42:49

something and how do people contact us Andy? If they would like to do all the things we wanted to do, which is to sign up and get it direct, rather than going through all these extraneous steps to get a podcast? What do people do use your podcast app

Unknown 1:43:05

and search for registry matters. If you want to get an email notification and you want to get it off of the web. Then you can go to registry matters dot CEO and there's a email subscribe right there. You'll get a notification when I release it. If you want to get an early by a day or so you can become a Patreon subscriber at patreon. com. That's pa ter e io n. com slash registry matters. If you want to shoot us an email message you can drop that off at registry matters cast at gmail. com. And as Gary was it he left voicemail at 747-227-4477 and I would like to give a shout out to the person that said the private message I do intend to contact you in the coming days. I'm just want but i do i do intend to contact that person about a lady the lady that affords you the email up Yes.

Unknown 1:43:58 Okay. Don't

Unknown 1:44:01 I don't want to drag this on. I'm pretty sure that's what I remember.

Unknown 1:44:05 Very good.

Unknown 1:44:06 Alright. Have a good night later. I'll talk to you soon. And thank you for joining me as always,

Unknown 1:44:10 thanks a lot. Bye.